	Case 3:08-cv-05052-BHS Document 12 Filed 03/21/08 Page 1 of 2	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
10	AT TACOMA	
11		I
12	JOSEPH CARVER,	
13	Plaintiff,	
14	V.	Case No. C08-5052BHS/JKA
15	HENRY RICHARDS et al.,	REPORT AND
16	Defendants,	RECOMMENDATION
17		NOTED FOR: April 18, 2008
18		
19	This 42 U.S.C. § 1983 Civil Rights has been referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge pursuant to	
20	Title 28 U.S.C. §§ 636(b)(1)(A) and 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Magistrates' Rules MJR 1, MJR 3, and MJR 4.	
21	This action was filed in January of 2008 (Dkt. # 1). The original proposed complaint contained two plaintiffs	
22	acting pro se. These two pro se litigants were attempting to file a class action without a motion to certify a	
23	class. Neither plaintiff purported to have standing to raise every issue in the 72 page complaint (Dkt. # 3,	
24	proposed complaint). In February the court ordered each defendant to file a separate complaint that raised only	
25	issues that plaintiff had standing to raise. Plaintiff Donaghe was ordered to file a separate action and plaintiff	
26	Carver was allowed to proceed under this case number (Dkt # 9).	
27	The court order to file an amended complaint gave Mr. Carver until March 15, 2008, to file his	

amended complaint (Dkt. # 9). A motion for reconsideration was filed and denied, however, the court granted

Case 3:08-cv-05052-BHS Document 12 Filed 03/21/08 Page 2 of 2

Mr. Carver's motion to proceed in forma pauperis in light of the additional financial information provided in the motion for reconsideration (Dkt # 10 and 11). As of March 21, 2008, no amended complaint has been filed. Plaintiff has not complied with the court's order to amend. The court recommends the action be **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** for the reasons stated in the order to amend and for failure to comply with a court order. (Dkt. # 9). Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Rule 72(b) of the Federal rules of Civil Procedure, the parties shall have ten (10) days from service of this Report to file written objections. See also Fed. R. Civ. P. 6. Failure to file objections will result in a waiver of those objections for purposes of appeal. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Accommodating the time limit imposed by Rule 72(b), the clerk is directed to set the matter for consideration on **April 18, 2008**, as noted in the caption. DATED this 21 day of March, 2008. /S/ J. Kelley Arnold J. Kelley Arnold United States Magistrate Judge